

Facilities Committee Meeting

SCUSD Maintenance Yard 425 1st Avenue Sacramento, CA 95818

Minutes September 16, 2019

1.0 Welcome/Call to Order -11:48AM

2.0 Roll Call/Establish Quorum

Members Present: Members Pritchett, Vang, Garcia

Members Absent: N/A

Staff Present: Cathy Allen, Nathaniel Browning, Amna Javed

Quorum established.

3.0 Public Comment

4.0 Approval of Agenda

Motion by: Member Vang Second by: Member Garcia

All in favor.

5.0 Approval of Minutes

June 27, 2019 – Regular Meeting

Motion by: Member Garcia Second by: Member Vang

Member Garcia agrees and would like to know if						

7.0 Transportation Yard Update

Staff prepared a Board Communication that will be emailed to the Board for review. The community is discouraged with the transportation yard being located near their homes. The District has held a few community meetings to address their concerns and complaints and to try and solve as much as possible. Communication will continue.

Throughout the process of the building of the new transportation facility, proper studies and notifications and Board adoption were adhered to.

Member Garcia pointed out that all of the above was conducted prior to the facility being built and in operation.

8.0 Bond Oversight Committee Requests to the Facilities Committee (Standing Item)

Brian Hill of the Bond Oversight Committee was present and wanted the let the committee members know that the BOC would like to lend more support to the community and the Board.

9.0 Facilities Master Plan Update/Schedule

The District is near conclusion of the FCA (Facilities Condition Assessments). DLR Group is the firm that SCUSD has hired to conduct the assessments. SCUSD is starting the conversation around educational specifications and involving the curriculum side of things.

The new Facilities Master Plan will be paid out of bond. The last master plan was completed in 2012.

This will be in preparation of a November 2020 bond. We are nearing the end of the Q and R bonds.

There are two parts to the Facilities Master Plan. 1. Assessing the buildings. 2. Creating the educational specifications.

The District will be holding community meetings. One at each high school.

10.0 Old Marshall

Staff met with the Mogavero/Bardis team and the Railyards' Developers. They are willing to entertain the idea of an earlier land swap.

The District reached out to School Site Solutions. They conduct property acquisitions for school districts. SCUSD will start identifying studies fairly quickly to hopefully gain approval from the California Department of Education (CDE).

Public Comment: Louis Demas and Tom Quasebarth – Marshall New Era. Concerned about the lack of transparency. They are concerned that there may be "demolition by neglect" for the building. They would like for more than one option to be pursued so if one fails, the other has momentum(t)6.(or)9.4(t)9.4(t)9.4(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)12(t)6.9(t)9)13(t)7(t)9.1(

 $iations start \ dutt) 6.9(he) 4.2 ducted essios or ett di 59(on) 124(e) 4.n don 5(opy) 16.n (d) 9.9(e) 3.5(s) 9.9(s) 9.5(i) 6.9(oat) 6Ba.) 6.o(p) 12(ar) 9.0 (m) 5.1(e) 4.0 (d) 6.9(h) 12(a4.2p)g) TJ25> BDC-6.440031.1576.9(h) 12(a4.2p)g) TJ3624> BDC-0.20 TO.103 T*T* <math>MPerPria.P:(e)-4or-0.20$ TO.20 T

	—— Page 4 ——		